Asymmetric Syntheses of APTO and AETD: the β -Amino Acid Fragments within Microsclerodermins C, D, and E

Stephen G. Davies,* Ai M. Fletcher, Emma M. Foster, James A. Lee, Paul M. Roberts, and James E. Tho[ms](#page-10-0)on

Department of Chemistry, Chemistry Research Laboratory, University of Oxford, Mansfield Road, Oxford, OX1 3TA, U.K.

S Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-9-0) Efficient asymmetric syntheses of APTO and AETD, the highly functionalized β amino acid fragments within microsclerodermins C, D, and E, are reported. The conjugate addition of lithium (R) -N-benzyl-N- $(\alpha$ -methylbenzyl)amide to tert-butyl (E,E) -7-(triisopropylsilyloxy)hepta-2,4-dienoate and in situ enolate oxidation with (−)-camphorsulfonyloxaziridine, diastereoselective dihydroxylation of a 2,3-syn-γ,δ-unsaturated-α-hydroxy-β-amino ester derivative under Donohoe conditions, and a Julia-Kocieński olefination were used as the key steps.

■ INTRODUCTION

Microsclerodermins A−I are a family of nine cyclic hexapeptide natural products, isolated from marine sponges of the Microscleroderma and Theonella genera native to the waters off New Caledonia and the Philippines.¹ All nine of these compounds exhibit antifungal activity against Candida albicans in a paper disk diffusion assay,¹ and mic[ro](#page-10-0)sclerodermins F-I have also been shown to display moderate cytotoxicity against the HCT-116 cell line.^{1b} The s[tr](#page-10-0)uctures of microsclerodermins A−I were elucidated by a combination of spectroscopic methods and chemica[l d](#page-10-0)egradation studies. All the members of this family contain a 23-membered ring constructed of six amino acid residues; three amino acid residues are common to all members of the microsclerodermin family viz. glycine, sarcosine (N-methylglycine) and (R)-4-amino-3-hydroxybutyric acid (GABOB). In each case the three remaining amino acids comprise a substituted tryptophan residue, a 4-aminopyrrolidin-2-one-5-acetic acid residue, and a 2,4,5-trihydroxy substituted β -amino acid residue, with considerable variation in their substitution across the microsclerodermin family. In each case the identity of the 2,4,5-trihydroxy substituted $β$ -amino acid residue was identified by a combination of evidence, including ¹ H NMR NOE data, derivatization of the 4,5-dihydroxy unit to the corresponding trans-configured acetonide, and degradation to give (S,S)-3-hydroxyaspartic acid via oxidative cleavage of the 4,5-dihydroxy unit followed by hydrolysis of the resultant peptide. Microsclerodermin C 1 and microsclerodermin D 2, for example, both contain the common β -amino acid residue (2S,3R,4S,5S,E)-3-amino-8-phenyl-2,4,5-trihydroxyoct-7-enoic acid (APTO), and microsclerodermin E 3 contains a $(2S, 3R, 4S, 5S, E, E)$ -3-amino-10- $(4'$ -ethoxyphenyl)-2,4,5-trihydroxydeca-7,9-dienoic acid (AETD) residue (Figure 1).

The potent biological activity of the microsclerodermins has made them attractive targets for total syntheses. However, to

date, only one member of the microsclerodermin family has been synthesized: in 2003 Zhu and Ma reported a total synthesis of microsclerodermin E 3^2 employing an enantiospecific synthesis of a protected AETD−GABOB dipeptide

Received: December 17, 2012 Published: February 4, 2013

fragment from δ -gluconolactone. Since then, several other reports have described syntheses of the 2,4,5-trihydroxy substituted β -amino acid fragments within microsclerodermins A–I in protected form.³ Shioiri et al. reported an enantiospecific synthesis of (2S,3R,4S,5S,6S,E)-3-amino-12- (4′-methoxyphenyl)-6-meth[y](#page-10-0)l-2,4,5-trihydroxydodec-11-enoic acid (AMMTD), the β -amino acid component within both microsclerodermins A and B, from methyl (R)-3-O-tertbutyldiphenylsiloxy-2-methylpropionate,⁴ Chandrasekhar and Sultana have also reported an enantiospecific synthesis of AMMTD from (S) -citronellol,⁵ and Bu[rn](#page-10-0)ett and Williams have reported studies toward (2S,3R,4S,5S,6S,E,E,E)-3-amino-6 methyl-12-phenyl-2,4,5-trihyd[ro](#page-10-0)xydodeca-7,9,11-trienoic acid (AMPTD), the core β -amino acid component within microsclerodermins F and G.⁶ However, of all the β -amino acid components within microsclerodermins A−I, (2S,3R,4S,5S,E)- 3-amino-8-phenyl-2,4,5-t[ri](#page-10-0)hydroxyoct-7-enoic acid (APTO), the $β$ -amino acid component within both microsclerodermins C 1 and D 2, has received the most interest from synthetic chemists. In 2007 McLeod et al. reported an asymmetric synthesis of APTO in protected form, 7 and as a Wittig olefination was used in the final step, their route was also applied to the synthesis of (2S,3R,4S,5S,[E](#page-10-0),E)-3-amino-10-(4′ ethoxyphenyl)-2,4,5-trihydroxydeca-7,9-dienoic acid (AETD), the β -amino acid component within microsclerodermin E 3, also in protected form. More recently, Aitken et al.⁸ have reported syntheses of protected forms of APTO and AETD from a 2-deoxy-D-ribose derivative, 9 and this was follow[ed](#page-10-0) by a report by Dodd et al. in which APTO was produced from L-gulose.¹⁰

Previous investigations from our laboratory have demonstrated [tha](#page-10-0)t the conjugate addition of enantiopure secondary lithium amides (derived from α -methylbenzylamines) to α , β unsaturated esters represents a general and efficient synthetic protocol for the synthesis of β -amino esters and their derivatives.¹¹ This methodology has found numerous applications, including the total syntheses of natural products,¹² molecular [rec](#page-10-0)ognition phenomena¹³ and resolution protocols,¹⁴ and has been reviewed.¹⁵ We have recently extended the util[ity](#page-10-0) of this methodology to encom[pas](#page-10-0)s the synthesis of ami[no](#page-10-0) sugars.¹⁶ For example, [c](#page-10-0)onjugate addition of lithium (R) -Nbenzyl-N- $(\alpha$ -methylbenzyl)amide 5 to tert-butyl sorbate 4 follow[ed](#page-10-0) by in situ oxidation of the resultant enolate with (−)-camphorsulfonyloxaziridine [(−)-CSO] 6 gave α-hydroxy- β -amino ester 7 in 68% yield as a single diastereoisomer (>99:1 dr). Subsequent syn-dihydroxylation of the C−C double bond within 7 upon treatment with the $OsO₄/TMEDA$ complex (Donohoe conditions) 17 gave amino triol 8 in 81% yield and >99:1 dr. Alternatively, oxidation of 7 with $OsO₄/NMO$ (U[p](#page-10-0)john conditions) 18 produced antipodal diastereoselectivity, giving amino triol 9 as a single diastereoisomer (>99:1 dr). Subsequent elaborat[ion](#page-10-0) of 9 gave the target 3,6-dideoxy-3 amino sugar 10 as a mixture of protected pyranose and furanose anomers (Scheme 1). As part of our ongoing research program in this area we became interested in the application of this methodology for the preparation of the 2,4,5-trihydroxy substituted β -amino acid fragments within the microsclerodermins, and we report herein our full investigations concerning the total asymmetric syntheses of APTO and AETD.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We envisaged that 2.3 -syn- γ , δ -unsaturated- α -hydroxy- β -amino ester 13 would be a useful substrate for the synthesis of APTO (in the first instance) as it could be accessed from $2,3$ -anti- α hydroxy-β-amino ester 12 via epimerization at the $C(2)$ position; in turn, 12 can be produced from 11 using our diastereoselective aminohydroxylation protocol.^{15,19,20} Two alternative strategies for the elaboration of $2,3\text{-}syn-\gamma,\delta$ unsaturated- α -hydroxy- β -amino ester 13 were in[vestigat](#page-10-0)ed. In the first of these two approaches, diastereoselective dihydroxylation of 13 would be followed by subsequent introduction of the styryl unit using the (protected) ζ-hydroxyl group within 14 as a synthetic handle (Route A, Figure 2). The second approach would involve olefination of 13 followed by regioselective dihydroxylation of γ , δ , ζ , η -diunsaturated- α -hydroxy- β -amino ester 16 (Route B, Figure 2). As we have already established that remarkable diastereoselectivity can be achieved upon dihydroxylation of acyclic γ ,δ-unsaturated- α -hydroxy- β -amino

Figure 2. Proposed strategies to access APTO.

esters,¹⁶ we sought to develop a reliable olefination procedure to install the required styryl moiety and therefore investigated "Rout[e B](#page-10-0)" first.

We have previously employed O-TIPS protection of ω hydroxyl groups to good effect in the lithium amide conjugate addition reaction, $12a$, b so this protecting group was selected for our proposed syntheses of APTO and AETD. The requisite α , β -unsaturated [este](#page-10-0)r 20 was prepared via a three step procedure from 3-butyn-1-ol 17: initially, protection of the hydroxyl group within 17 was achieved upon treatment with TIPSCl in the presence of DMAP and imidazole, which gave 18 in 92% isolated yield. Subsequent reduction of 18 with DIBAL-H and $C_{p_2}ZrCl_2^{21}$ followed by treatment with I_2 gave vinyl iodide 19 (${}^{3}J_{1,2} = 14.4$ Hz) in 79% yield as a single diastereoisomer (>99:1 d[r\).](#page-10-0) Heck coupling²² of 19 with tertbutyl acrylate then gave α , β -unsaturated ester 20 in 89% yield and >99:1 dr (Scheme 2). The (E, E) -confi[gu](#page-10-0)ration within 20 was confirmed by ¹H NMR ³J coupling constant analysis $\binom{3}{2,3}$ $= 15.4$ Hz; $^{3}J_{4,5} = 15.4$ Hz).

Scheme 2

Conjugate addition of lithium (R) -N-benzyl-N- $(\alpha$ methylbenzyl)amide 5 to α , β -unsaturated ester 20 followed by oxidation of the intermediate lithium (Z) - β -amino enolate²³ with $(-)$ -CSO 6 produced β -amino ester 21 as a single diastereoisomer (>99:1 dr), which was isolated in 72% yi[eld](#page-10-0) and >99:1 dr after chromatographic purification. The stereochemical outcome of this transformation was initially assigned by reference to the well-established transition state mnemonic developed by us to rationalize the diastereoselectivity observed upon conjugate addition of lithium amides derived from α methylbenzylamines, 24 and by analogy to the outcome of our aminohydroxylation protocol.^{15,19,20} Subsequent treatment of 21 with TBAF gave [22](#page-10-0) in 90% yield and >99:1 dr. The relative configuration within 22 was [unambig](#page-10-0)uously assigned via single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis,²⁵ with the absolute (R, R, R, E) configuration within 22 following from the known (R) configuration of [th](#page-10-0)e $N-\alpha$ -methylbenzyl stereocenter; this analysis therefore also allowed the absolute configuration within 21 to be assigned unambiguously. Two potential routes to install the desired styryl moiety were then investigated. In the first instance, Appel reaction of 22 produced the corresponding iodide 23 in 85% yield, which was then converted to phosphonium iodide salt 24 in quantitative yield. Unfortunately all attempts at Wittig reaction of the ylid derived from 24 with benzaldehyde were unsuccessful. Attention then turned to our alternative strategy via a Julia− Kocieński olefination with benzaldehyde. Thus, Mitsunobu reaction of 22 with 1-phenyl-1H-tetrazole-5-thiol (PTSH) gave 26 in 82% yield. Oxidation of 26 to the corresponding sulfone 27 was achieved upon treatment with ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate and H₂O₂, which gave 27 in 58% yield. Julia– Kocieński olefination, upon deprotonation of 27 with KHMDS

followed by the addition of benzaldehyde, gave 25 in 66% yield and >99:1 dr. An oxidation/diastereoselective reduction sequence was then used to invert the configuration of the $C(2)$ -stereogenic center within 25: oxidation of 25 under Swern conditions, followed by immediate reduction of the intermediate ketone 28 with NaBH₄ gave 2,3-syn- α -hydroxy- β amino ester 29 as a single diastereoisomer (>99:1 dr), which was isolated in 25% yield after purification (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3

Unfortunately, attempted regioselective dihydroxylation of the $C(4)-C(5)$ double bonds within both 25 and 29 under Donohoe conditions produced complex mixtures of products consistent with preferential oxidation of the styryl units, although it was not possible to isolate any of the products from these reactions.

With a reliable procedure for the installation of the styryl unit established, our attention turned toward our alternative synthetic strategy in which the chemo- and diastereoselective oxidation of the C−C double bond within 2,3-syn-α-hydroxy-βamino ester 31 would be followed by olefination. Oxidation of the C(2)-hydroxyl functionality within 2,3-anti- α -hydroxy- β amino ester 21 under Swern conditions followed by immediate reduction of the intermediate ketone 30 upon treatment with NaBH₄ gave 2,3-syn- α -hydroxy- β -amino ester 31 as a single diastereoisomer (>99:1 dr). After purification of the crude reaction mixture, 31 was isolated in 67% yield (from 21) and >99:1 dr. On the basis of our previous observations concerning the chemo- and diastereoselective oxidation of the corresponding tert-butyl 2-hydroxy-3-aminohex-4-enoates,^{16,26} we anticipated that syn-dihydroxylation of 31 under Donohoe conditions (using the $OsO₄/TMEDA complex$)¹⁷ would in[stall](#page-10-0) the correct stereochemistry for syntheses of both APTO and AETD. Indeed, dihydroxylation of α -hydro[xy-](#page-10-0) β -amino ester 31 under Donohoe conditions 17 gave a single osmate ester-TMEDA complex 32, which after treatment with trishydroxymethylphosphine, 27 gave lac[ton](#page-10-0)e 33 in 53% yield (from 31) and >99:1 dr (Scheme 4). The relative configuration within 33 was initially es[tab](#page-10-0)lished by $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR NOE analysis and was later confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of a derivative.

Hydrogenolytic deprotection of 33 in the presence of $Boc₂O$ gave 34 in 69% yield, and subsequent O-silyl deprotection of 34 upon treatment with TBAF produced 35 in only 19% isolated yield. An improved yield of 35 was obtained upon O-silyl deprotection of 33 followed by hydrogenolysis: desilylation of 33 with either TBAF or HF·pyridine gave 36 in 46 or 88% yield, respectively, and then hydrogenolysis of 36 in the presence of Pd/C and Boc₂O gave 35 in quantitative yield.²⁸ Mitsunobu reaction of 35 with PTSH gave the corresponding sulfide 37 in 100% conversion, although attempted reaction [of](#page-10-0) 36 under identical conditions was not successful. Sulfide 37 could not be separated from the resultant $Ph_3P=O$ residues, so the mixture of 37 and $Ph_3P=O$ was therefore treated with ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate to give sulfone 38 in 100% conversion. Upon attempted chromatographic purification of the crude reaction mixture on silica (using a mixture of $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$ as the eluent), an 80:20 mixture of ester 39 and lactone 38 was isolated. Treatment of this mixture with 2,2 dimethoxypropane (DMP) and BF_3 ·OEt₂ in acetone produced a 74:26 mixture of 40 and 41, respectively. Upon purification of this mixture, 40 was isolated in 8% yield (from 35), and 41 was isolated in 5% yield (from 35), as single diastereoisomers

(>99:1 dr) in each case. Further optimization of the reaction conditions revealed that treatment of the 80:20 mixture of 39 and 38 with DMP and TsOH in acetone at rt for 24 h produced 40 exclusively, which was isolated in 19% yield (from 35) and >99:1 dr (Scheme 5). The relative configuration within 40 was

unambiguously assigned via single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis,²⁵ and the determination of a Flack x parameter²⁹ of $0.17(5)$ for the crystal structure of 40 allowed the absolute (S,S,S,S[\)-c](#page-10-0)onfiguration within 40 (and therefore the abs[olu](#page-10-0)te configurations within 21−39 and 41) to be determined, thereby confirming the sense of diastereofacial selectivity observed upon oxidation of 31 under Donohoe conditions.¹⁷

Repetition of this process, followed by treatment of sulfone 38 with DMP and TsOH in acetone (i.e., omitting [th](#page-10-0)e attempted purification of 38), gave 40 as the sole reaction product. Upon chromatographic purification of the crude reaction mixture, 40 was isolated as a single diastereoisomer (>99:1 dr) in 30% overall yield for the three step procedure from 35 (Scheme 6).

Olefination of 40 produced 42 as a single diastereoisomer (>99:1 dr), which [w](#page-4-0)as isolated in 79% yield and >99:1 dr after Scheme 6

purification (Scheme 7), concluding the synthesis of a protected derivative of APTO in 14 steps and 3.4% overall yield from commercially available starting materials. Furthermore, the relative configuration within 42 was unambiguously assigned via single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis, 25 and the determination of a Flack x parameter²⁹ of $-0.08(9)$ for the crystal structure of 42 allowed the assigned absolute ([S](#page-10-0),S,S,S,E) configuration within 42 to be confirm[ed.](#page-10-0) In order to correlate our synthesis with that of McLeod et al., $\frac{7}{7}$ treatment of 42 with BF_3 ·OEt₂ and DMP gave 66% conversion to 43 (i.e., the final product in McLeod's synthesis of the [AP](#page-10-0)TO fragment). After purification, 43 was isolated in 37% yield and >99:1 dr; the spectroscopic data for this sample of 43 were found to be consistent with those reported previously.⁷ This route produced 43 in superior yield when compared with the Julia−Kocieński olefination of 41, which pro[ce](#page-10-0)eded in only 28% conversion, giving 43 in 16% yield in addition to returning starting material 41, which was isolated in 31% yield. While a shorter enantiospecific route to an alternative protected form of APTO has previously been reported, 8 the yield of 42 obtained via this strategy is comparable with that of 43 obtained by McLeod et al. $⁷$ in their a[sy](#page-10-0)mmetric synthesis.</sup>

Analogous reaction of 40 with (E) -3-(4'-ethoxyphenyl)acrylaldehyde 44 (which was prepared in 82% yield and >99:1 dr via Heck coupling of 4-iodophenetole with acrolein diethyl acetal, followed by hydrolysis of the resultant acetal), $30³$ produced 45 in >99:1 dr. After chromatographic purification of the crude reaction mixture, 45 was isolated in 23% yield a[nd](#page-10-0) >99:1 dr (Scheme 7). Again, single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of 45^{25} and the determination of a Flack x parameter²⁹ of $0.11(6)$ for the crystal structure of 45 allowed the assigned absolute (S,S,[S](#page-10-0),S,E,E)-configuration within 45 to be confirm[ed.](#page-10-0) This route therefore constitutes a synthesis of a protected form of AETD in 14 steps and 1.0% overall yield from commercially available starting materials.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the asymmetric syntheses of APTO and AETD, the β -amino acid fragments within microsclerodermins C, D, and E, were achieved using the conjugate addition of lithium (R) -N-benzyl-N- $(\alpha$ -methylbenzyl)amide to tert-butyl (E,E) -7-(triisopropylsilyloxy)hepta-2,4-dienoate and in situ enolate oxidation with (−)-camphorsulfonyloxaziridine, diastereoselective dihydroxylation of a 2,3-syn-γ,δ-unsaturated-α-hydroxy-βamino ester derivative under Donohoe conditions, and a Julia− Kocieński olefination as the key steps. Overall, APTO and AETD (in protected form) were produced in 3.4 and 1.0% yield, respectively, in 14 steps from commercially available starting materials in each case.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Details. All reactions involving organometallic or other moisture-sensitive reagents were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard vacuum line techniques and glassware that was flame-dried and cooled under nitrogen before use. Solvents were dried according to the procedure outlined by Grubbs and co-workers.³¹ BuLi was purchased as a solution in hexanes and titrated against diphenylacetic acid before use. All other reagents were used as supplie[d w](#page-10-0)ithout prior purification. Organic layers were dried

over MgSO4. Thin layer chromatography was performed on aluminum plates coated with 60 F_{254} silica. Plates were visualized using UV light (254 nm), 1% aq $KMnO₄$ or Dragendorff's reagent. Flash column chromatography was performed on Kieselgel 60 silica. Melting points are uncorrected. Specific rotations are reported in 10^{-1} deg cm² g⁻¹ and concentrations in g/100 mL. IR spectra were recorded using an ATR module. Selected characteristic peaks are reported in cm[−]¹ . NMR spectra were recorded in the deuterated solvent stated. Spectra were recorded at rt unless otherwise stated. The field was locked by external referencing to the relevant deuteron resonance. When the diastereotopic methyl groups of acetonide functionalities could not be unambiguously assigned, the descriptor MeCMe was employed. H−¹H COSY, ¹H−¹³C HMQC, and ¹H−¹³C HMBC analyses were used to establish atom connectivity. Accurate mass measurements were run on a TOF spectrometer internally calibrated with polyalanine.

4-Triisopropylsilyloxy-but-1-yne 18. Imidazole (14.6 g, 214 mmol), DMAP (350 mg, 2.85 mmol) and TIPSCl (15.3 mL, 71.3 mmol) were sequentially added to a solution of 17 (5.00 g, 71.3 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (500 mL) at rt, and the resultant solution was stirred at rt for 16 h. The reaction mixture was then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in $Et₂O$ (500 mL), and the resultant solution was washed with 1.0 M aq HCl (250 mL) and then dried and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30−40 °C petrol) gave 18 as a colorless oil (14.8 g, 92%):³² $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl3) 1.01−1.14 (21H, m, Si(CHMe2)3), 1.97 (1H, t, J 2.6, $C(1)H$), 2.45 (2H, td, J [7](#page-10-0).3, 2.6, $C(3)H_2$), 3.83 (2H, t, J 7.3, $C(4)H_2$).

(E)-1-Iodo-4-(triisopropylsilyloxy)but-1-ene 19. DIBAL-H (1.0 M in THF, 9.72 mL, 9.72 mmol) was added to a solution of Cp_2ZrCl_2 (2.84 g, 9.72 mmol) in THF (50 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, and then a solution of 18 (2.00 g, 8.83 mmol) in THF (12 mL) was added via cannula. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt, and stirring was continued until a homogeneous solution resulted (ca. 30 min). The reaction mixture was then cooled to -78 °C, and a solution of I₂ (2.91 g, 11.4 mmol) in THF (38 mL) was added via cannula. The reaction mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 1 h, and then 1.0 M aq HCl (40 mL) was added, and the resultant mixture was extracted with Et₂O (2 \times 40 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed sequentially with satd aq $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ (40 mL) and satd aq NaHCO₃ (40 mL) and then dried and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was passed through a short plug of silica gel (eluent 40−60 °C petrol/Et₂O, 200:1) and then concentrated in vacuo to give 19 as a pale yellow oil (2.46 g, 79%, >99:1 dr):³³ $\delta_{\rm H}$ $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ 1.02−1.14 $(21H, m, \text{Si}(\text{CHMe}_2)_{3})$, 2.30 $(2H, m,$ $C(3)H_2$), 3.73 (2H, t, J 6.5, $C(4)H_2$), 6.09 (1H, d, J 14.4, $C(1)H$ $C(1)H$ $C(1)H$), 6.58 (1H, dt, J 14.4, 7.2, C(2)H).

tert-Butyl (E,E)-7-(triisopropylsilyloxy)hepta-2,4-dienoate **20.** Pd(OAc)₂ (68 mg, 0.30 mmol) was added to a solution of 19 (2.13 g, 6.01 mmol, >99:1 dr), tert-butyl acrylate (1.74 mL, 11.9 mmol), Ag₂CO₃ (1.82 g, 6.61 mmol) and Et₃N (1.72 mL, 12.3 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (30 mL) at rt, and the resultant mixture was stirred at rt for 16 h. Satd aq NaHCO₃ (15 mL) was then added, and the resultant mixture was extracted with Et_2O (3 \times 20 mL). The combined organic extracts were then dried and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30−40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 40:1) gave 20 as a pale yellow oil (1.90 g, 89%, >99:1 dr): ν_{max} (ATR) 2943, 2867 (C−H), 1710 (C=O), 1645, 1618 (C=C); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.02−1.09 (21H, m, Si(CHMe₂)₃), 1.49 (9H, s, CMe₃), 2.40 $(2H, \text{app } q, J 6.5, C(6)H_2), 3.76 (2H, t, J 6.5, C(7)H_2), 5.73 (1H, d, J)$ 15.4, C(2)H), 6.13 (1H, dt, J 15.4, 6.5, C(5)H), 6.22 (1H, dd, J 15.4, 10.3, C(4)H), 7.16 (1H, dd, J 15.4, 10.3, C(3)H); δ_c (125 MHz, CDCl₃) 10.2 (Si(CHMe₂)₃), 16.2 (Si(CHMe₂)₃), 26.4 (CMe₃), 34.9 $(C(6))$, 60.7 $(C(7))$, 78.3 $(CMe₃)$, 119.8 $(C(2))$, 128.2 $(C(4))$, 138.4 $(C(5))$, 142.0 $(C(3))$, 164.9 $(C(1))$; m/z $(ESI⁺)$ 377 $([M + Na]⁺$, 100%); HRMS (ESI^+) $C_{20}H_{38}NaO_3Si^+$ $([M + Na]^+)$ requires 377.2482; found 377.2470.

tert-Butyl (R,R,R,E)-2-hydroxy-3-[N-benzyl-N-(α-methylbenzyl)amino]-7-(triisopropylsilyloxy)hept-4-enoate 21. BuLi (2.45 M in THF, 16.3 mL, 39.9 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of (R) -N-benzyl-N- $(\alpha$ -methylbenzyl)amine (8.70 g,

41.2 mmol) in THF (180 mL) at −78 °C, and stirring was continued at −78 °C for 30 min. A solution of 20 (7.30 g, 20.6 mmol, >99:1 dr) in THF (180 mL) was then added via cannula, and the reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h. (−)-CSO 6 (9.44 g, 41.2 mmol) was then added, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 12 h, and then satd aq NH4Cl (20 mL) was added, and the resultant mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between Et₂O (150 mL) and H₂O (150 mL), and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3×100 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed sequentially with 10% aq citric acid (300 mL), satd aq NaHCO₃ (300 mL) and brine (300 mL) and then dried and concentrated in vacuo to give 21 in >99:1 dr. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30–40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 10:1) gave **21** as a pale yellow oil (10.3 g, 72%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –41.2 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} (ATR) 3505 (O−H), 2941, 2866 (C−H), 1724 (C=O) 1602 (C=C); δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.05−1.10 (21H, m, $Si(CHMe₂)₃$, 1.33 (9H, s, CMe₃), 1.37 (3H, d, J 6.8, C(α)Me), 2.29 (2H, app q, J 6.7, C(6)H₂), 2.93 (1H, d, J 5.6, OH), 3.55 (1H, dd, J 9.1, 2.7, C(3)H), 3.71 (2H, t, J 6.7, C(7)H2), 3.77 (1H, d, J 14.3, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.97 (1H, d, J 14.3, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.08 (1H, dd, J 5.6, 2.7, $C(2)H$), 4.23 (1H, q, J 6.8, $C(\alpha)H$), 5.60 (1H, dt, J 15.6, 6.7, $C(5)$) H), 5.75 (1H, dd, J 15.6, 9.1, C(4)H), 7.18–7.43 (10H, m, Ph); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 12.0 (Si(CHMe₂)₃), 14.8 (C(α)Me), 18.1 $(Si(CHMe₂)₃), 27.9 (CMe₃), 36.5 (C(6)), 51.4 (NCH₂Ph), 56.8$ $(C(\alpha))$, 63.0 $(C(3))$, 63.1 $(C(7))$, 74.5 $(C(2))$, 81.9 $(CMe₃)$, 126.6, 126.7 (p-Ph), 127.0 (C(4)), 128.0, 128.0, 128.2, 128.5 (o,m-Ph), 131.7 $(C(5))$, 141.6, 144.2 (*i-Ph*), 172.7 $(C(1))$; *m*/z (ESI⁺) 582 ([M + H]⁺ , 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{35}H_{56}NO_4Si^+$ ([M + H]⁺) requires 582.3973; found 582.3972.

tert-Butyl (R,R,R,E)-2,7-dihydroxy-3-[N-benzyl-N-(α-methylbenzyl)amino]hept-4-enoate 22. TBAF (1.0 M in THF, 15.0 mL, 15.0 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 21 (1.74 g, 2.99 mmol, >99:1 dr) in THF (50 mL) at rt, and the resultant mixture was stirred at rt for 16 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with Et_2O (50 mL) and washed with H_2O (50 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 \times 50 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30–40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 1:1) gave 22 as a white crystalline solid (1.15 g, 90%, >99:1 dr): mp 61−65 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –53.8 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} (ATR) 3474, 3318 (O–H), 3064, 3027, 2983, 2968, 2931, 2882 (C−H), 1730 (C=O); δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.35 (9H, s, CMe₃), 1.39 (3H, d, J 6.8, C(α)Me), 2.05 (1H, br s, C(7)OH), 2.24–2.39 (2H, m, C(6)H₂), 3.01 (1H, br s, C(2)OH), 3.52−3.60 (2H, m, C(3)H, C(7)H_A), 3.61−3.69 (1H, m, C(7)H_B), 3.85 (1H, d, J 14.4, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.04 (1H, d, J 14.4, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.17 (1H, app s, C(2)H), 4.24 (1H, q, J 6.8, C(α)H), 5.47 (1H, dt, J 15.4, 7.4, C(5)H), 5.85 (1H, dd, J 15.4, 9.4, C(4)H), 7.20−7.46 (10H, m, Ph); δ_c (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.9 (C(α)Me), 27.9 (CMe₃), 36.1 $(C(6))$, 51.4 (NCH₂Ph), 56.9 $(C(\alpha))$, 61.4 $(C(7))$, 63.0 $(C(3))$, 74.8 $(C(2))$, 82.2 $(CMe₃)$, 126.7, 126.8 $(p-Ph)$, 127.0, 128.1, 128.2, 128.4 $(o,m-Ph)$, 129.2 $(C(4))$, 130.6 $(C(5))$, 141.4, 144.0 $(i-Ph)$, 173.3 $(C(1))$; m/z $(ESI⁺)$ 426 $([M + H]⁺$, 100%); HRMS $(ESI⁺)$ $C_{26}H_{36}NO_4^+$ ([M + H]⁺) requires 426.2639; found 426.2640.

tert-Butyl (R,R,R,E)-2-hydroxy-3-[N-benzyl-N-(α-methylbenzyl)amino]-7-iodohept-4-enoate 23. $PPh₃$ (89 mg, 0.34 mmol) and imidazole (29 mg, 0.42 mmol) were added to a solution of 22 (120 mg, 0.28 mmol, >99:1 dr) in PhMe and MeCN (v/v 4:1, 3.42 mL). I₂ (86 mg, 0.34 mmol) was then added, and the resultant mixture was heated to 60 °C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to rt and diluted with $Et₂O$ (5 mL), and then the resultant solution was washed sequentially with satd aq $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ (10 mL), H_2O (10 mL) and brine (10 mL). The organic layer was then dried and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30−40 °C petrol/Et2O, 10:1) gave 23 as a colorless oil (129 mg, 85%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –55.5 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} (ATR) 3499 (O−H), 3061, 3027, 2976, 2933 (C−H), 1722 (C=O); δ _H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.36 (9H, s, CMe₃), 1.42 (3H, d, J 6.8, C(α)Me), 2.56– 2.71 (2H, m, $C(6)H_2$), 2.94 (1H, br s, OH), 3.16 (1H, dt, J 14.8, 7.2, $C(7)H_A$, 3.18 (1H, dt, J 14.8, 7.2, $C(7)H_B$), 3.61 (1H, dd, J 9.1, 2.3, C(3)H), 3.85 (1H, d, J 14.5, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.02 (1H, d, J 14.5,

 NCH_AH_BPh , 4.14 (1H, d, J 2.3, C(2)H), 4.28 (1H, q, J 6.8, C(α)H), 5.49 (1H, dt, J 15.4, 6.8, C(5)H), 5.83 (1H, dd, J 15.4, 9.1, C(4)H), 7.20−7.47 (10H, m, Ph); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.0 (C(7)), 15.3 $(C(\alpha)Me)$, 28.0 (CMe_3) , 36.5 $(C(6))$, 51.4 (NCH₂Ph), 56.9 $(C(\alpha))$, 62.7 (C(3)), 74.4 (C(2)), 82.1 (CMe₃), 126.7, 126.7 (p-Ph), 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 128.4 (o,m-Ph), 128.5 (C(4)), 132.7 (C(5)), 141.4, 144.2 $(i-Ph)$, 172.7 $(C(1))$; m/z $(ESI⁺)$ 536 $([M + H]⁺$, 100%); HRMS $(ESI⁺) C₂₆H₃₅INO₃⁺ ([M + H]⁺)$ requires 536.1656; found 536.1667.

{tert-Butyl (R,R,R,E)-2-hydroxy-3-[N-benzyl-N-(α-methylbenzyl)amino]hept-4-en-7-yl}triphenylphosphonium iodide **24.** PPh₃ (43 mg, 0.16 mmol) was added to a solution of 23 (88) mg, 0.16 mmol, >99:1 dr) in MeCN (4 mL) at rt, and the resultant mixture was heated at reflux for 48 h. The reaction mixture was then concentrated in vacuo to give 24 as a pale yellow solid (131 mg, quant, >99:1 dr): mp 73–77 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25}$ –47.3 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} (ATR) 3324 (O−H), 3057, 3027, 2976, 2932, 2872 (C−H), 1730 (C=O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.31 (9H, s, CMe₃), 1.33 (3H, d, J 6.8, $C(\alpha)$ Me), 2.32–2.44 (2H, m, $C(6)H_2$), 2.96 (1H, br s, OH), 3.50– 3.68 (3H, m, C(3)H, C(7)H₂), 3.82 (1H, d, J 14.9, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.88 $(1H, d, J 14.9, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.05 (1H, app s, C(2)H), 4.10 (1H, q, J)$ 6.8, $C(\alpha)H$), 5.69 (1H, dd, J 15.4, 8.8, $C(4)H$), 5.86 (1H, dt, J 15.4, 6.6, C(5)H), 7.09–7.82 (25H, m, Ph); δ_c (100 MHz, CDCl₃) [selected peaks] 16.2 ($C(\alpha)$ Me), 22.6 ($C(7)$), 25.7 ($C(6)$), 28.0 (CMe_3) , 51.4 (NCH₂Ph), 57.5 $(C(\alpha))$, 62.3 $(C(3))$, 73.4 $(C(2))$, 82.3 $(CMe₃)$, 172.6 $(C(1))$; m/z (ESI⁺) 670 ([M]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{44}H_{49}NO_3P^+$ ([M]⁺) requires 670.3445; found 670.3453.

 $iter$ -Butyl (R, R, R, E, E) -2-hydroxy-3-[N-benzyl-N- $(\alpha$ -methylbenzyl)amino]-8-phenylocta-4,7-dienoate 25. KHMDS (0.5 M in PhMe, 0.47 mL, 0.24 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of 27 (66 mg, 0.11 mmol, >99:1 dr) and PhCHO (13 μ L, 0.13 mmol) in THF (2.5 mL) at -78 °C, and the resultant mixture was stirred at -78 $^{\circ}$ C for 30 min. H₂O (2 mL) was then added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt. The aqueous layer was then extracted with Et₂O (3×10 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed sequentially with satd aq NH4Cl (20 mL) and brine (20 mL) and then dried and concentrated in vacuo to give 25 in >99:1 dr. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30−40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 10:1) gave 25 as a pale yellow oil (35 mg, 66%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$ –49.6 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} (ATR) 3499 (O−H), 3083, 3066, 3026, 2977, 2933, 2824 (C−H), 1722 (C=O), 1600 (C=C); δ_{H} (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.33 (9H, s, CMe₃), 1.40 (3H, d, J 6.8, C(α)Me), 2.96 (2H, app t, J 6.6, $C(6)H₂$), 3.61 (1H, dd, J 9.1, 2.5, $C(3)H$), 3.83 (1H, d, J 14.5, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.99 (1H, d, J 14.5, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.14 (1H, d, J 2.5, C(2)H), 4.26 (1H, q, J 6.8, C(α)H), 5.58 (1H, dt, J 15.5, 6.6, C(5)H), 5.79 (1H, dd, J 15.5, 9.1, C(4)H), 6.17 (1H, dt, J 15.9, 6.6, C(7)H), 6.41 (1H, d, J 15.9, C(8)H), 7.18–7.45 (15H, m, Ph); δ_c (125 MHz, CDCl₃) 15.1 (C(α)Me), 27.9 (CMe₃), 36.0 (C(6)), 51.4 (NCH₂Ph), 56.9 (C(a)), 62.9 (C(3)), 74.5 (C(2)), 82.0 (CMe₃), 126.6, 126.7, 127.0 (p-Ph), 127.0 (C(4)), 126.0, 127.9, 128.0, 128.2, 128.4, 128.5 $(o,m\text{-}Ph)$, 128.1 $(C(7))$, 130.9 $(C(8))$, 132.4 $(C(5))$, 137.5, 141.5, 144.1 (*i-Ph*), 172.7 (C(1)); m/z (ESI⁺) 498 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{33}H_{40}NO_3^+$ ([M + H]⁺) requires 498.3003; found 498.3011.

tert-Butyl (R,R,R,E)-2-hydroxy-3-[N-benzyl-N-(α-methylbenzyl)amino]-7-(1′-phenyl-1H-tetrazol-5′-ylthio)hept-4 enoate 26. DEAD (48 μ L, 0.31 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of 22 (100 mg, 0.23 mmol, >99:1 dr), PTSH (50 mg, 0.28 mmol) and PPh₃ (74 mg, 0.28 mmol) in THF (2 mL) at 0 $^{\circ}$ C, and the resultant mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 16 h. EtOAc (10 mL) was then added, and the reaction mixture was washed sequentially with brine (10 mL) and $H_2O(10 \text{ mL})$ and then dried and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30−40 $^{\circ}$ C petrol/Et₂O, 2:1) gave 26 as a colorless oil (113 mg, 82%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25}$ –53.2 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} (ATR) 3493 (O–H), 3061, 3027, 2976, 2933 (C−H), 1722 (C=O); δ_H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.31 (9H, s, CMe₃), 1.32 (3H, d, J 6.9, C(α)Me), 2.59 (2H, app q, J 7.1, $C(6)H_2$), 2.87 (1H, d, J 4.4, OH), 3.45 (2H, t, J 7.1, $C(7)H_2$), 3.57 $(1H, dd, J 9.0, 2.2, C(3)H), 3.77 (1H, d, J 14.5, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.96$ (1H, d, J 14.5, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.10 (1H, app br s, C(2)H), 4.21 (1H, q, J 6.9, $C(\alpha)H$), 5.54 (1H, dt, J 15.5, 7.1, $C(5)H$), 5.82 (1H, dd, J 15.5,

9.0, C(4)H), 7.17–7.59 (15H, m, Ph); δ_c (125 MHz, CDCl₃) 15.1 $(C(\alpha)Me)$, 27.9 (CMe_3) , 32.0 $(C(6))$, 32.8 $(C(7))$, 51.3 (NCH₂Ph), 56.8 $(C(\alpha))$, 62.6 $(C(3))$, 74.4 $(C(2))$, 82.1 (CMe_3) , 123.8, 127.9, 128.1, 128.2, 128.3, 129.8 (o,m-Ph), 126.7, 126.7, 130.1 (p-Ph), 129.1 $(C(4))$, 130.9 $(C(5))$, 133.6, 141.3, 144.0 (i-Ph), 154.2 $(\tilde{C}(5'))$, 172.6 $(C(1))$; m/z $(ESI⁺)$ 608 $([M + Na]⁺$, 100%); HRMS $(ESI⁺)$ $C_{33}H_{39}N_5NaO_3S^+$ ([M + Na]⁺) requires 608.2666; found 608.2664.

tert-Butyl (R, R, R, E) -2-hydroxy-3-[N-benzyl-N- $(\alpha$ -methylbenzyl)amino]-7-(1′-phenyl-1H-tetrazol-5′-ylsulfonyl)hept-4 **enoate 27.** $(NH_4)_{6}Mo_{7}O_{24}$ $4H_2O$ (48 mg, 0.04 mmol) was dissolved in 30% aq H_2O_2 (0.33 mL, 2.89 mmol) at 0 °C, and the resultant solution was added dropwise to a solution of 26 (113 mg, 0.19 mmol, >99:1 dr) in EtOH $(\overline{3}$ mL) at 0 °C. The resultant suspension was allowed to warm to rt, stirred at rt for 16 h, and then added to brine (10 mL). The reaction mixture was then extracted with EtOAc (3×20) mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30−40 $^{\circ}$ C petrol/Et₂O, 2:1) gave 27 as a pale yellow oil (69 mg, 58%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ –46.9 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} (ATR) 3507 (O–H), 3062, 3028, 2977, 2934 (C−H), 1722 (C=O), 1345, 1150 (S=O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ 1.35 $(9H, s, \text{CM}e_3)$, 1.37 $(3H, d, J, 6.8, \text{C}(\alpha)$ Me), 2.65−2.80 (2H, m, $C(6)H_2$), 2.93 (1H, br s, OH), 3.61 (1H, dd, J 9.1, 2.3, C(3)H), 3.72−3.78 (2H, m, C(7)H2), 3.86 (1H, d, J 14.7, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.98 (1H, d, J 14.7, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.15 (1H, app s, C(2)H), 4.23 (1H, q, J 6.8, C(α)H), 5.56 (1H, dt, J 15.4, 6.8, C(5)H), 5.89 (1H, dd, J 15.4, 9.1, C(4)H), 7.20–7.73 (15H, m, Ph); δ_c (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 15.7 (C(α)Me), 25.3 (C(6)), 28.0 (CMe₃), 51.3 (NCH_2Ph) , 55.4 $(C(7))$, 57.0 $(C(\alpha))$, 62.3 $(C(3))$, 74.2 $(C(2))$, 82.3 $(CMe₃), 125.0, 127.9, 128.1, 128.3, 128.3, 129.8$ $(o,m-Ph), 126.7,$ 126.8, 131.5 (p-Ph), 127.9 (C(5)), 130.1 (C(4)), 133.0, 141.4, 143.8 $(i-Ph)$, 153.4 $(C(5'))$, 172.6 $(C(1))$; m/z $(ESI⁺)$ 640 $([M + Na]⁺$, 100%); HRMS (ESI^+) $C_{33}H_{39}N_5NaO_5S^+$ $([M + Na]^+)$ requires 640.2564; found 640.2546.

tert-Butyl $(25,3R,\alpha R,E,E)$ -2-hydroxy-3-[N-benzyl-N- $(\alpha$ methylbenzyl)amino]-8-phenylocta-4,7-dienoate 29. Step 1. DMSO (0.43 mL, 6.82 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of $(COCl)$ ₂ (51 µL, 0.60 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) at -78 °C, and the resultant mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 5 min. A solution of 25 (150 mg, 0.30 mmol, >99:1 dr) in CH_2Cl_2 (2 mL) was then added via cannula, and the reaction mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 30 min. Et₃N (0.17 mL, 1.20 mmol) was then added, and stirring was continued at −78 °C for a further 10 min. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 20 min. $H_2O(20 \text{ mL})$ was added, and the reaction mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×50 mL). The combined organic extracts were then dried and concentrated in vacuo to give 28 as a yellow oil $(175 \text{ mg}, \text{ > } 99.1 \text{ dr})$: m/z (ESI^+) 496 $([M + H]^+, 100\%).$

Step 2. The residue of 28 (175 mg, >99:1 dr) was dissolved in MeOH (6 mL), and the resultant solution was cooled to −20 °C. NaBH4 (11 mg, 0.30 mmol) was then added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at −20 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to rt and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between H_2O (30 mL) and Et₂O (30 mL), and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3×50 mL). The combined organic extracts were then dried and concentrated in vacuo to give 29 in >99:1 dr. Purification via flash column chromatography (gradient elution, 20:1 \rightarrow 10:1 30−40 °C petrol/Et₂O) gave 29 as a pale yellow oil (37 mg, 25% from 25, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -37.5 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} (ATR) 3387 (O−H), 3027, 2977, 2932 (C−H), 1736 (C=O), 1585 (C=C) ; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.36 (9H, s, CMe₃), 1.48 (3H, d, J 6.9, C(α)Me), 2.98–3.03 (2H, m, C(6)H₂), 3.37–3.44 (1H, m, C(3)H), 3.68 (1H, d, J 13.6, NCH_AH_RPh), 3.88 (1H, d, J 13.6, NCH_AH_RPh), 3.90 (1H, d, J 9.1, C(2)H), 4.12 (1H, q, J 6.9, C(α)H), 5.65–5.75 $(2H, m, C(4)H, C(5)H)$, 6.22 (1H, dt, J 15.8, 6.8, C(7)H), 6.46 (1H, d, J 15.8, C(8)H), 7.21–7.39 (15H, m, Ph); δ_c (125 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.8 (C(α)Me), 27.9 (CMe₃), 36.0 (C(6)), 50.2 (NCH₂Ph), 56.5 $(C(\alpha))$, 62.6 $(C(3))$, 71.8 $(C(2))$, 81.3 (CMe_3) , 126.8 $(C(4))$, 127.2, 127.2, 127.3 (p-Ph), 127.5 (C(7)), 126.0, 127.8, 128.4, 128.5, 128.5, 129.0 (o,m-Ph), 131.3 (C(8)), 133.9 (C(5)), 137.4, 139.4, 143.2 (iPh), 171.4 (C(1)); m/z (ESI⁺) 498 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{33}H_{40}NO_3^+$ ([M + H]⁺) requires 498.3003; found 498.3007.

tert-Butyl (2S,3R,αR,E)-2-hydroxy-3-[N-benzyl-N-(α-methylbenzyl)amino]-7-(triisopropylsilyloxy)hept-4-enoate 31. Step 1. DMSO (1.22 mL, 17.2 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of $(COCl)₂$ (0.15 mL, 1.72 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (6 mL) at -78 °C, and the resultant mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 5 min. A solution of 21 (500 mg, 0.86 mmol, >99:1 dr) in CH_2Cl_2 (6 mL) was then added via cannula, and the reaction mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 30 min. Et_3N (0.45 mL, 3.43 mmol) was then added, and stirring was continued at −78 °C for a further 10 min. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 20 min. H₂O (20 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic extracts were then dried and concentrated in vacuo to give 30 as a yellow oil (570 mg, >99:1 dr): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.04−1.09 (21H, m, Si(CHMe₂)₃), 1.39 (3H, d, J 6.8, C(α)Me), 1.46 (9H, s, CMe₃), 2.32 (2H, app q, J 6.6, C(6)H₂), 3.70 (2H, t, J 6.6, $C(7)H_2$), 3.81 (1H, d, J 14.6, NCH_AH_RPh), 3.88 (1H, d, J 14.6, NCH_AH_BPh , 4.02 (1H, q, J 6.8, C(α)H), 4.60 (1H, d, J 8.1, C(3)H), 5.66 (1H, dt, J 15.9, 6.6, C(5)H), 5.75 (1H, dd, J 15.9, 8.1, C(4)H), 7.20–7.37 (10H, m, Ph); m/z (ESI⁺) 580 ([M + H]⁺, 100%).

Step 2. The residue of 30 (570 mg, $>99:1$ dr) was dissolved in MeOH (6 mL), and the resultant solution was cooled to −20 °C. NaBH4 (33 mg, 0.86 mmol) was added portionwise, and the reaction mixture was then stirred at −20 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to rt and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between H_2O (30 mL) and Et₂O (30 mL), and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3×50 mL). The combined organic extracts were then dried and concentrated in vacuo to give 31 in >99:1 dr. Purification via flash column chromatography (gradient elution, 20:1 → 10:1 30–40 °C petrol/Et₂O) gave 31 as a pale yellow oil (333 mg, 67% from 21, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –49.6 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); νmax (ATR) 3439 (O−H), 3087, 3062, 3028, 2942, 2892, 2866 (C−H) , 1733 (C=O), 1630 (C=C); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.08-1.15 (21H, m, Si(CHMe₂)₃), 1.36 (9H, s, CMe₃), 1.47 (3H, d, J 6.8, C(α)Me), 2.30–2.40 (2H, m, C(6)H₂), 3.33–3.40 (1H, m, C(3)H), 3.66 (1H, d, J 13.3, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.75–3.81 (3H, m, C(7)H₂, OH), 3.86 (1H, d, J 13.3, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.88 (1H, d, J 6.6, C(2)H), 4.11 (1H, q, J 6.8, C(α)H), 5.63–5.75 (2H, m, C(4)H, C(5)H), 7.20–7.36 (10H, m, Ph); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 12.0 (Si(CHMe₂)₃), 14.7 $(C(\alpha)Me)$, 18.0 $(Si(CHMe₂)₃)$, 27.9 $(CMe₃)$, 36.6 $(C(6))$, 50.1 (NCH_2Ph) , 56.5 $(C(\alpha))$, 62.6 $(C(3))$, 62.9 $(C(7))$, 71.8 $(C(2))$, 81.1 $(CMe₃), 127.2 (C(4)), 127.2, 127.2 (p-Ph), 127.8, 128.4, 128.5, 129.1)$ $(o,m\text{-}Ph)$, 133.3 $(C(5))$, 139.5, 143.3 $(i\text{-}Ph)$, 171.5 $(C(1))$; m/z $(ESI⁺)$ 582 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{35}H_{56}NO_4Si^+$ ([M + H]⁺) requires 582.3973; found 582.3969.

 $(25,35,45,55, \alpha R)$ -2,5-Dihydroxy-3-[N-benzyl-N- $(\alpha$ -methylbenzyl)amino]-7-(triisopropylsilyloxy)-4-heptylolactone 33. Step 1. $OsO₄$ (265 mg, 1.04 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 31 (552 mg, 0.95 mmol, >99:1 dr) and TMEDA (0.21 mL, 1.34 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) at −78 °C, and the resultant mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 15 min and was then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was then passed through a short plug of silica gel (eluent $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$, 20:1) and concentrated in vacuo.

Step 2. The residue was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (150 mL), and then $P(CH_2OH)_3$ (5.89 g, 47.5 mmol)³⁴ and Et₃N (2.64 mL, 19.0 mmol) were added sequentially. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 5 min, and then SiO₂ (\sim 2.0 g) wa[s a](#page-10-0)dded. The resultant mixture was stirred at rt for 48 h and then concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30−40 °C petrol/EtOAc, 3:1) gave 33 as a colorless oil (272 mg, 53%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25}$ +36.6 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} (ATR) 3454 (O–H), 3086, 3062, 3030, 2942, 2891, 2866 (C−H), 1770 (C=O); δ_H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.07−1.14 (21H, m, (Si(CHMe₂)₃), 1.47 (3H, d, J 6.9, C(α)Me), 1.56−1.63 (1H, m, $C(6)H_A$, 1.91−2.00 (1H, m, $C(6)H_B$), 2.92 (1H, d, J 6.3, C(2)OH), 3.45 (1H, d, J 3.8, C(5)OH), 3.79 (1H, d, J 14.8, NCH_AH_RPh), 3.83 (1H, d, J 14.8, NCHAHBPh), 3.89−3.95 (3H, m, C(3)H, C(4)H, C(7)H_A), 3.99–4.04 (1H, m, C(7)H_B), 4.09 (1H, q, J 6.9, C(α)H), 4.13−4.18 (1H, m, C(5)H), 4.28−4.33 (1H, m, C(2)H), 7.21−7.46

(10H, m, Ph); δ_C (125 MHz, CDCl₃) 11.7 (Si(CHMe₂)₃), 17.9 $(Si(CHMe₂)₃), 18.1 (C(\alpha)Me), 35.2 (C(6)), 50.0 (NCH₂Ph), 60.1)$ $(C(\alpha))$, 62.3 $(C(7))$, 64.1 $(C(3))$, 68.8 $(C(2))$, 69.1 $(C(5))$, 82.7 $(C(4))$, 127.2, 127.5 (p-Ph), 127.9, 128.0, 128.4, 128.6 (o,m-Ph), 140.2, 142.8 (*i-Ph*), 175.7 (C(1)); m/z (ESI⁺) 564 ([M + Na]⁺ , 100%); HRMS (ESI^+) $C_{31}H_{47}NNaO_5Si^+$ $([M + Na]^+)$ requires 564.3116; found 564.3115.

(S,S,S,S)-2,5-Dihydroxy-3-(N-tert-butoxycarbonylamino)-7- (triisopropylsilyloxy)-4-heptylolactone 34. Pd/C (50% w/w of substrate, 204 mg) was added to a stirred solution of 33 (408 mg, 0.753 mmol, >99:1 dr) and $Boc₂O$ (181 mg, 0.829 mmol) in MeOH (10 mL) at rt. The resultant mixture was degassed and saturated with H_2 and then left to stir under an atmosphere of H_2 (1 atm) for 18 h. The reaction mixture was then filtered through a short plug of Celite (eluent MeOH), and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (gradient elution, 3:1 → 2:1 30−40 °C petrol/EtOAc) gave 34 as a colorless oil (232 mg, 69%, >99:1 dr): $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{25}$ +10.9 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} (ATR) 3366 (O−H), 2943, 2867 (C−H), 1782, 1689 (C=O); δ ^H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.01−1.15 (21H, m, $(Si(CHMe₂)₃)$, 1.45 (9H, s, CMe₃), 1.70−1.80 (1H, m, C(6) H_A), 1.90−2.01 (1H, m, C(6) H_B), 3.91−4.04 $(2H, m, C(7)H₂), 4.05–4.18 (2H, m, C(3)H, C(5)H), 4.23–4.29$ $(1H, m, C(4)H)$, 4.51 $(1H, d, J7.6, C(2)H)$, 4.69 $(1H, br, SOH)$, 5.47 (1H, br s, NH); δ_c (125 MHz, CDCl₃) 11.7 (Si(CHMe₂)₃), 17.9 $(Si(CHMe₂)₃), 28.2 (CMe₃), 33.5 (C(6)), 56.4 (C(3)), 61.8 (C(7)),$ 69.6 (C(5)), 72.7 (C(2)), 81.1 (CMe₃), 81.3 (C(4)), 156.4 (NCO), 173.6 (C(1)); m/z (ESI⁺) 470 ([M + Na]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{21}H_{41}NNaO_7Si^+$ ([M + Na]⁺) requires 470.2545; found 470.2531.

(S,S,S,S)-2,5,7-Trihydroxy-3-(N-tert-butoxycarbonylamino)- 4-heptylolactone 35. Method A (from 34). TBAF (1.0 M in THF, 0.32 mL, 0.32 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 34 $(121 \text{ mg}, 0.27 \text{ mmol}, 99.1 \text{ dr})$ in THF (4 mL) at rt, and the resultant mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h. The reaction mixture was then diluted with $Et₂O$ (10 mL) and washed with $H₂O$ (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3×10 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent EtOAc) gave 35 as a colorless oil (15 mg, 19%, >99:1 dr):²⁸ $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +21.8 (c 1.0 in MeOH); ν_{max} (ATR) 3347 (O−H), 2977, 2934 (C−H), 1778, 1689 (C=O); δ ^H (500 MHz, MeOH- d_4) 1.48 (9[H,](#page-10-0) s, CMe₃), 1.72–1.92 (2H, m, C(6)H₂), 3.70– 3.77 (2H, m, $C(7)H_2$), 3.86–3.92 (1H, m, $C(5)H$), 4.10 (1H, dd, J 9.6, 2.2, C(4)H), 4.27 (1H, app t, J 9.6, C(3)H), 4.49 (1H, d, J 9.6, C(2)H); δ_C (125 MHz, MeOH-d₄) 28.7 (CMe₃), 37.0 (C(6)), 56.6 $(C(3))$, 59.6 $(C(7))$, 66.8 $(C(5))$, 72.9 $(C(2))$, 80.9 $(CMe₃)$, 82.9 $(C(4))$, 158.0 (NCO), 176.1 $(C(1))$; m/z (ESI⁺) 314 ([M + Na]⁺ , 100%); HRMS (ESI^+) $C_{12}H_{21}NNaO_7^+$ $([M + Na]^+)$ requires 314.1210; found 314.1213.

Method B (from 36). Pd/C (50% w/w of substrate, 258 mg) was added to a stirred solution of 36 (516 mg, 1.34 mmol, >99:1 dr), and Boc2O (321 mg, 1.47 mmol) in MeOH (10 mL) at rt. The resultant mixture was degassed, saturated with H_2 , and then left to stir under an atmosphere of H_2 (1 atm) for 18 h. The reaction mixture was then filtered through a short plug of Celite (eluent MeOH), and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give 35 as a yellow oil (390 mg, quant, >99:1 dr).

(2S,3S,4S,5S, α R)-2,5,7-Trihydroxy-3-[N-benzyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amino]-4-heptylolactone 36. Method A. TBAF (1.0 M in THF, 0.20 mL, 0.20 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 33 (92 mg, 0.17 mmol, >99:1 dr) in THF (4 mL) at rt, and the resultant mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h. The reaction mixture was then diluted with Et_2O (10 mL) and washed with H_2O (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with $Et₂O$ (3 \times 10 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30−40 °C petrol/EtOAc, 1:2) gave 36 as a pale yellow oil (30 mg, 46%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +22.7 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} (ATR) 3362 (O–H), 2933 (C−H), 1769 (C=O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, C₆D₆) 1.29–1.34 (1H, m, C(6)H_A), 1.35 (3H, d, J 6.9, C(α)Me), 1.63–1.71 (2H, m, C(6)H_B, $C(7)OH$, 2.55 (1H, d, J 6.3, $C(5)OH$), 2.78 (1H, d, J 4.9, $C(2)OH$), 3.32−3.39 (1H, m, C(7) H_A), 3.41−3.48 (1H, m, C(7) H_B), 3.57 (1H,

d, J 15.1, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.61 (1H, d, J 15.1, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.70 (1H, dd, J 8.1, 1.4, C(4)H), 3.98–4.03 (1H, m, C(5)H), 4.04 (1H, app t, J 8.1, $C(3)H$), 4.09 (1H, q, J 6.9, $C(\alpha)H$), 4.32 (1H, dd, J 8.1, 4.9, $C(2)$ H), 7.10−7.49 (10H, m, Ph); δ _C (125 MHz, C₆D₆) [selected peaks] 19.0 (C(α)Me), 35.5 (C(6)), 50.1 (NCH₂Ph), 60.7 (C(7)), 61.4 $(C(\alpha))$, 64.9 $(C(3))$, 68.5 $(C(5))$, 68.8 $(C(2))$, 81.3 $(C(4))$, 141.4, 143.8 (i-Ph), 175.2 (C(1)); m/z (ESI⁺) 408 ([M + Na]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{22}H_{27}NNaO_5^+$ ([M + Na]⁺) requires 408.1781; found 408.1769.

Method B. HF·pyridine solution (70%, 1.27 mL, 49.3 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 33 (922 mg, 1.70 mmol, >99:1 dr) in THF (6 mL) at 0 °C. The resultant solution was allowed to warm to rt, stirred at rt for 16 h, and then neutralized by the dropwise addition of satd aq NaHCO₃ (\sim 50 mL). The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 100 \text{ mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were then dried and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was passed through a short plug of silica gel (eluent 30−40 °C petrol/ EtOAc, 1:2) and concentrated in vacuo to give 36 as a pale yellow oil (579 mg, 88%, >99:1 dr).

(S,S,S,S)-2,5-Dihydroxy-3-(N-tert-butoxycarbonylamino)-7- (1′-phenyl-1H-tetrazol-5′-ylthio)-4-heptylolactone 37. DEAD (98 μ L, 0.62 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of 35 (140) mg, 0.48 mmol, >99:1 dr), PTSH (103 mg, 0.58 mmol) and PPh3 (151 mg, 0.58 mmol) in THF (6 mL) at 0 $^{\circ}$ C, and the resultant mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 16 h. EtOAc (10 mL) was then added, and the reaction mixture was washed sequentially with brine (10 mL) and $H₂O$ (10 mL) and then dried and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (gradient elution, 10:1 → 2:1 30−40 °C petrol/acetone) gave a sample of 37 contaminated with Ph₃P=O (258 mg): δ_H (400 MHz, MeOH- d_4) [selected peaks] 1.41 (9H, s, CMe₃), 2.03–2.18 (2H, m, C(6)H₂), 3.40–3.51 (1H, m, $C(7)H_A$), 3.55–3.65 (1H, m, $C(7)H_B$), 3.86–3.94 (1H, m, $C(5)H$), 4.10−4.16 (1H, m, C(4)H), 4.33 (1H, app t, J 9.8, C(3)H), 4.55 (1H, d, J 9.8, C(2)H); δ_C (100 MHz, MeOH- d_4) [selected peaks] 27.8 (CMe_3) , 30.0 $(C(6))$, 33.0 $(C(7))$, 55.7 $(C(3))$, 67.5 $(C(5))$, 71.9 $(C(2))$, 79.9 (CMe₃), 81.7 (C(4)), 155.0 (C(5')), 156.9 (NCO), 174.9 $(C(1))$; m/z $(ESI⁺)$ 474 $([M + Na]⁺$, 100%); HRMS $(ESI⁺)$ $C_{19}H_{25}N_5NaO_6S^+$ ([M + Na]⁺) requires 474.1418; found 474.1405.

(S,S,S,S)-2,5-Dihydroxy-3-(N-tert-butoxycarbonylamino)-7- [N(1′)-phenyl-1H-tetrazol-5′-ylsulfonyl]-4-heptylolactone 38 and Methyl (2S,3R,4S,5S)-2,4,5-trihydroxy-3-(N-tert-butoxycarbonylamino)-7-[N(1′)-phenyl-1H-tetrazol-5′-ylsulfonyl]heptan**oate 39.** (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O (60 mg, 0.05 mmol) was dissolved in 30% aq H_2O_2 (0.42 mL, 3.65 mmol) at 0 °C, and the resultant solution was added dropwise to a solution of 37 (258 mg, contaminated with $Ph_3P=O$) in EtOH (4.5 mL) at 0 °C. The resultant suspension was allowed to warm to rt, stirred at rt for 16 h, and then added to brine (10 mL). The resultant mixture was then extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were dried and concentrated in vacuo to give 38. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$, 50:1) gave an 80:20 mixture of 39 and 38 as a white solid (67 mg). Data for 39: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) 1.35 (9H, s, CMe₃), 1.76–1.84 (1H, m, C(6)H_A), 1.93−2.03 (1H, m, C(6) H_B), 3.26−3.32 (1H, m, C(2)H), 3.50−3.56 (1H, m, C(5)H), 3.58 (3H, s, OMe), 3.75–3.82 (2H, m, C(7)H₂), 3.91 (1H, app td, J 10.0, 1.6, C(3)H), 4.40 (1H, d, J 7.3, C(5)OH), 4.43 (1H, dd, J 7.6, 1.6, C(4)H), 4.91 (1H, d, J 8.2, C(2)OH), 5.11 (1H, d, J 7.6, C(4)OH), 6.29 (1H, d, J 10.0, NH), 7.63−7.79 (5H, m, Ph); δ_C (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆) 26.3 (C(6)), 28.1 (CMe₃), 51.4 (OMe), 53.5 (C(7)), 54.3 (C(3)), 67.2 (C(5)), 68.9 (C(4)), 70.1 $(C(2))$, 78.1 (CMe_3) , 126.4, 129.4 $(o,m\text{-}Ph)$, 131.5 $(p\text{-}Ph)$, 133.0 $(C(5'))$, 153.3 (*i-Ph*), 155.3 (NCO), 174.0 $(C(1))$. Data for 38: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) [selected peaks] 1.39 (9H, s, CMe₃), 1.89– 2.04 (2H, m, C(6)H2), 3.18 (1H, d, J 5.0, C(2)OH), 3.60−3.67 (1H, m, C(5)H), 3.75−3.82 (1H, m, C(7)H_A), 3.84−3.92 (1H, m, C(7)H_B) , 3.99 (1H, dd, J 8.8, 2.2, C(4)H), 4.10−4.18 (1H, m, C(2)H), 4.36 $(1H, dd, J 9.6, 7.2, C(3)H), 5.39 (1H, d, J 7.3, C(5)OH), 6.18 (1H, d,$ *J* 7.2, NH); δ_C (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) [selected peaks] 25.8 (C(6)), 28.2 (CMe₃), 53.0 (C(7)), 54.6 (C(2)), 65.9 (C(5)), 71.0 (C(3)), 78.4 (CMe₃), 80.8 (C(4)), 153.3 (C(5')), 155.1 (NCO), 174.2 (C(1)). Data for mixture: mp 177−181 °C; v_{max} (ATR) 3514, 3415, 3385 (N−H, O−H), 2985, 2953, 2913 (C−H), 1740, 1668 (C=O), 1332, 1151 (S=O); m/z (ESI⁺) 538 ([M(39) + Na]⁺, 100%), 506 ([M(38) + Na]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{20}H_{28}N_5Na_2O_9S^+$ ([M(39) – H + 2Na]+) requires 560.1398; found 560.1383; HRMS (ESI+) $C_{19}H_{25}N_5N_4O_8S^+$ ([M(38) + Na]⁺) requires 506.1316; found 506.1308.

Methyl (S,S,S,S)-2,4,5-trihydroxy-3-(N-tert-butoxycarbonylamino)-4,5-O-isopropylidine-7-[N(1′)-phenyl-1H-tetrazol-5′ ylsulfonyl]heptanoate 40 and (5S,4R,1′S,2′S)-2,2-Dimethyl-N(3)-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-4-(1′,2′-dihydroxy-1′,2′-O-isopropylidene-4′-{[N(1″)-phenyl-1H-tetrazol-5″-yl]sulfonyl}but-1′ yl)-5-methoxycarbonyloxazolidine 41. Method A. PPTS (5 mg) cat.) was added to a solution of an 80:20 mixture of 39 and 38 (50 mg) in acetone (2.5 mL) at rt. The resultant mixture was then heated at reflux for 24 h. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to rt, $NaHCO₃$ was then added until pH 7 was achieved, and the reaction mixture was filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give an 83:17 mixture of 40 and 41. Purification via flash column chromatography (gradient elution, 5:1 → 2:1 30−40 °C petrol/EtOAc) gave 41 as a colorless oil (7 mg, 3% from 35, >99:1 dr):³⁵ [α]²⁵ -5.7 (c 0.6 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} (ATR) 2984, 2938 (C−H), 1740, 1691 (C=O), 1369, 1153 (S=O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ $\delta_{\rm H}$ $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.40 (6H, app s, 2 × MeCMe), 1.47 (9H, s, CMe₃), 1.56 (3H, s, MeCMe), 1.60 (3H, s, MeCMe), 2.11−2.21 (1H, m, C(3′)H_A), 2.23−2.34 (1H, m, C(3′)H_B), 3.78− 3.92 (2H, m, C(1′)H, C(4′)HA), 3.80 (3H, s, OMe), 3.96−4.06 (1H, m, $C(4')H_B$), 4.23–4.32 (1H, m, $C(2')H$), 4.49 (1H, app br s, C(4)H), 4.72 (1H, app br s, C(5)H), 7.57–7.75 (5H, m, Ph); δ_c (125 MHz, CDCl₃) 26.0 $(C(3'))$, 26.9, 27.2, 27.2, 27.3 $(4 \times MeCMe)$, 28.3 (CMe_3) , 52.6 (OMe), 53.3 (C(4')), 61.4 (C(4)), 75.0 (C(5)), 76.4 $(C(2'))$, 80.3 $(C(1'))$, 81.4 $(CMe₃)$, 96.5 $(C(2))$, 109.4 (MeCMe), 125.1, 129.7 (o,m-Ph), 131.5 (p-Ph), 133.0 (i-Ph), 152.3 (NCO), 154.4 $(C(5''))$, 171.8 (CO_2Me) ; m/z (ESI⁺) 618 ([M + Na]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{26}H_{37}N_5NaO_9S^+$ ([M + Na]⁺) requires 618.2204; found 618.2181. Further elution gave 40 as a white solid (20 mg, 11% from 35, >99:1 dr): mp 143–146 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –3.0 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); νmax (ATR) 3388 (O−H, N−H), 2984, 2936 (C−H), 1741, 1712 (C=O), 1341, 1156 (S=O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.40 (9H, s, CMe₃), 1.42 (6H, app s, 2 × MeCMe), 2.11–2.21 (1H, m, C(6)H_A), 2.27−2.36 (1H, m, C(6) H_B), 3.11 (1H, br s, OH), 3.77 (1H, dd, J 9.6, 7.0, C(4)H), 3.78−3.84 (1H, m, C(7)HA), 3.81 (3H, s, OMe), 3.98 (1H, app ddd, J 15.3, 11.5, 4.4, C(7)HB), 4.16−4.22 (2H, m, C(3)H, $C(5)H$), 4.57 (1H, app br s, $C(2)H$), 4.91 (1H, d, J 10.1, NH), 7.58– 7.72 (5H, m, Ph); δ_c (125 MHz, CDCl₃) 26.9 (C(6)), 27.0, 27.3 (2 × MeCMe), 28.1 (CMe₃), 53.0 (C(7)), 53.0 (OMe), 55.2 (C(5)), 69.8 $(C(2))$, 77.4 $(C(3))$, 78.7 $(C(4))$, 80.5 $(CMe₃)$, 109.8 (MeCMe), 125.0, 129.7 (o,m-Ph), 131.5 (p-Ph), 133.0 (i-Ph), 153.3 (C(5′)), 155.2 (NCO) , 173.8 $(C(1))$; m/z $(ESI⁺)$ 578 $([M + Na]⁺$, 100%); HRMS (ESI^+) $C_{23}H_{33}N_5NaO_9S^+$ $([M + Na]^+)$ requires 578.1891; found 578.1894.

Method B. BF₃ (1.0 M in Et₂O, \sim 2 drops) was added dropwise to a solution of an 80:20 mixture of 39 and 38 (30 mg) and DMP (0.4 mL) in acetone (2.0 mL) at rt until a permanent color change from colorless to dark orange was observed. The resultant mixture was stirred at rt for 18 h. Et₃N (~0.1 mL) was added until pH 7 was achieved, and the reaction mixture was then concentrated in vacuo gave a 74:26 mixture of 40 and 41. Purification via flash column chromatography (gradient elution, 5:1 → 2:1 30−40 °C petrol/ EtOAc) gave 41 as a colorless oil (6 mg, 5% from 35 , >99:1 dr) and 40 as a white solid (9 mg, 8% from 35, >99:1 dr).

Method C. TsOH \cdot H₂O (6 mg, cat.) was added to a solution of an 80:20 mixture of 39 and 38 (32 mg) in acetone (2.8 mL) and DMP (0.2 mL) at rt, and the resultant solution was then heated at 40 °C for 24 h. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to rt, and solid $Na₂CO₃$ was then added until pH 7 was achieved. The resultant mixture was then filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give an 80:20 mixture of 40 and 41. Purification via flash column chromatography (gradient elution, 5:1 \rightarrow 2:1 30–40 °C petrol/EtOAc) gave 41 as a colorless oil (7 mg, 6% from 35, >99:1 dr). Further elution gave 40 as a white solid (24 mg, 21% from 35, >99:1 dr).

Method D. TsOH \cdot H₂O (7 mg, cat.) was added to a solution of an 80:20 mixture of 39 and 38 (36 mg) in acetone (2.8 mL) and DMP (0.2 mL) at rt. The resultant solution was then stirred at rt for 24 h, and then solid $Na₂CO₃$ was added until pH 7 was achieved. The resultant mixture was then filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give 40 in >99:1 dr. Purification via flash column chromatography (gradient elution, 5:1 → 2:1 30–40 °C petrol/EtOAc) gave 40 as a white solid (24 mg, 19% from 35, >99:1 dr).

Method E. TsOH·H₂O (7 mg, cat.) was added to a solution of 38 $(34 \text{ mg}, 99:1 \text{ dr})$ in acetone (2.8 mL) and DMP (0.2 mL) at rt. The resultant solution was then stirred at rt for 24 h, and then solid $Na₂CO₃$ was added until pH 7 was achieved. The resultant mixture was then filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give 40 in >99:1 dr. Purification via flash column chromatography (gradient elution, $5:1 \rightarrow$ 2:1 30−40 °C petrol/EtOAc) gave 40 as a white solid (20 mg, 30% from 35, >99:1 dr).

Methyl (S,S,S,S,E)-2,4,5-trihydroxy-3-(N-tert-butoxycarbonylamino)-4,5-O-isopropylidine-8-phenylocta-7-enoate 42. KHMDS (0.5 M in PhMe, 0.70 mL, 0.35 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of 40 (61 mg, 0.11 mmol, >99:1 dr) and PhCHO (36 μ L, 0.13 mmol) in THF (2.2 mL) at −78 °C, and the resultant mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min. H₂O (1 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt. The aqueous layer was then extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were dried and concentrated in vacuo to give 42 in >99:1 dr. Purification via flash column chromatography (gradient elution 10:1 \rightarrow 3:1 30−40 °C petrol/EtOAc) gave 42 as a white solid (38 mg, 79%, >99:1 dr): mp 131−135 °C; [α]²⁵ −7.9 (c 0.4 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} (ATR) 3437, 3360 (N−H, O−H), 3027, 2983, 2935 (C−H), 1741, 1716 (C=O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.42 (9H, s, CMe₃), 1.45 (6H, app s, 2 × MeCMe), 2.44–2.53 (1H, m, C(6)H_A), 2.53–2.61 (1H, m, C(6)HB), 3.18 (1H, d, J 3.5, OH), 3.77−3.84 (1H, m, C(4)H), 3.79 $(3H, s, OMe)$, 4.16–4.25 (2H, m, C(3)H, C(5)H), 4.59 (1H, app s, $C(2)H$), 4.88 (1H, d, J 10.1, NH), 6.24 (1H, dt, J 15.8, 7.3, $C(7)H$), 6.46 (1H, d, J 15.8, C(8)H), 7.18–7.39 (5H, m, Ph); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 27.2, 27.5 (2 × MeCMe), 28.2 (CMe₃), 37.7 (C(6)), 52.9 (OMe), 55.3 (C(5)), 69.9 (C(2)), 78.4 (C(4)), 79.5 (C(3)), 80.1 $(CMe₃), 109.4$ (MeCMe), 125.6 $(C(7))$, 126.2, 128.5 $(o,m\text{-}Ph)$, 127.2 $(p-Ph)$, 132.6 $(C(8))$, 137.3 $(i-Ph)$, 155.0 (NCO), 174.0 $(C(1))$; m/z $(ESI⁺) 458 ([M + Na]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₂₃H₃₃NNaO₇⁺ ([M +$ Na]+) requires 458.2149; found 458.2135.

(5S,4R,1′S,2′S,E)-2,2-Dimethyl-N(3)-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-4- (1′,2′-dihydroxy-1′,2′-O-isopropylidene-5′-phenylpent-4′-en-1′-yl)-5-methoxycarbonyloxazolidine 43. Method A (from 42). A solution of 42 (37 mg, 0.08 mmol, >99:1 dr) in acetone (2.9 mL) and DMP (0.58 mL) was stirred at rt, and BF₃ (1.0 M in Et₂O, ~3 drops) was added dropwise until a permanent color change from colorless to dark orange was observed. The resultant mixture was then stirred at rt for 24 h. Et₃N (~5 drops) was then added until pH 7 was achieved, and the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo to give a 66:34 mixture of 43 and 42. Purification via flash column chromatography (gradient elution 20:1 → 10:1 30−40 °C petrol/EtOAc) gave 43 as a pale yellow oil (15 mg, 37%, >99:1 dr):³⁵ $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -0.4 (c 1.0 in CH₂Cl₂); {lit.⁷ $[\alpha]_D^{21}$ -3.1 (c 3.8 in CH₂Cl₂)}; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -2.3 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} (ATR) 2983, 2936 (C−H), [17](#page-10-0)40, 1697 (C=O); δ_{H} (250 MHz, C[D](#page-10-0)Cl₃, 327 K) 1.43 (6H, s, 2 \times MeCMe), 1.52 (9H, s, CMe3), 1.58 (3H, s, MeCMe), 1.63 (3H, s, MeCMe), 2.40−2.66 (2H, m, C(3′)H2), 3.76 (3H, s, OMe), 4.02 (1H, dd, J 7.9, 5.5, C(1′)H), 4.12−4.23 (1H, m, C(2′)H), 4.45−4.53 (1H, m, C(4)H), 4.73 (1H, d, J 2.1, C(5)H), 6.29 (1H, dt, J 15.8, 7.0, C(4′)H), 6.51 (1H, d, J 15.8, C(5′)H), 7.16−7.39 (5H, m, Ph); δ_C (62.5 MHz, CDCl₃, 327 K) 27.2, 27.2, 27.4, 27.7 (4 × MeCMe), 28.5 (CMe₃), 36.5 (C(3')), 52.3 (OMe), 61.3 (C(4)), 75.2, 78.3, 80.0 (C(5), C(1'), C(2')), 80.9 $(CMe₃), 96.7 (C(2)), 109.0 (MeCMe), 125.5 (C(4')), 126.2, 128.5)$ (o,m-Ph), 127.2 (p-Ph), 132.9 (C(5′)), 137.6 (i-Ph), 151.8 (NCO), 172.1 (CO₂Me); m/z (ESI⁺) 498 ([M + Na]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{26}H_{37}NNaO_7^+$ ([M + Na]⁺) requires 498.2462; found 498.2464.

Method B (from 41). KHMDS (0.5 M in PhMe, 64 μ L, 32.2 μ mol) was added dropwise to a solution of 41 (16 mg, 26.9 μ mol, >99:1 dr) and PhCHO (3.5 μ L, 32.2 μ mol) in THF (0.6 mL) at −78 °C, and the resultant mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 30 min. H₂O (1 mL) was then added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were dried and concentrated in vacuo to give a 28:72 mixture of 43 and 41. Purification via flash column chromatography (gradient elution 20:1 → 5:1 30−40 °C petrol/ EtOAc) gave 43 as a yellow oil (2 mg, 16% , >99:1 dr).⁷ Further elution gave 41 as a colorless oil (5 mg, 31%, >99:1 dr).

(E)-3-(4′-Ethoxyphenyl)acrylaldehyde 44. 4-Iodo[ph](#page-10-0)enetole (120 mg, 0.48 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (2 mL) at rt, and then acrolein diethyl acetal (0.22 mL, 1.45 mmol), Bu₄NOAc (292 mg, 0.97 mmol), K_2CO_3 (100 mg, 0.73 mmol), KCl (36 mg, 0.48 mmol) and $Pd(OAc)₂$ (3.3 mg, 0.01 mmol) were sequentially added. The reaction vessel was evacuated, placed under an atmosphere of N_2 , and then heated at 90 °C for 18 h. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to rt, and then 2.0 M aq HCl (7 mL) was added dropwise, and the resultant mixture was stirred at rt for 10 min. The reaction mixture was diluted with Et₂O (50 mL) and washed with H₂O (3 \times 50 mL), and then the organic layer was dried and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (gradient elution 40:1 → 10:1 30−40 °C petrol/EtOAc) gave 44 as a yellow solid (70 mg, 82%, >99:1 dr): mp 50−52 °C; ν_{max} (ATR) 1673 (C=O), 1600 (C=C); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.45 (3H, t, J 7.0, CH₂CH₃), 4.10 $(2H, q, J 7.0, CH₂CH₃), 6.62 (1H, dd, J 15.9, 7.9, C(2)H), 6.94 (2H,$ d, J 8.7, C(3′)H, C(5′)H), 7.43 (1H, d, J 15.9, C(3)H), 7.52 (2H, d, J 8.7, C(2')H, C(6')H), 9.66 (1H, d, J 7.9, C(1)H); δ_C (100 MHz, $CDCl₃$) 14.7 (CH₂CH₃), 63.7 (CH₂CH₃), 115.0 (C(3'), C(5')), 126.4 $(C(2))$, 126.6 $(C(1'))$, 130.4 $(C(2'), C(6'))$, 152.9 $(C(3))$, 161.6 $(C(4'))$, 193.8 $(C(1)); m/z$ (FI⁺) 176 ([M]⁺, 100%); HRMS (FI⁺) $C_{11}H_{12}O_2^+$ ([M]⁺) requires 176.0832; found 176.0841.

Methyl (S,S,S,S,E,E)-2,4,5-trihydroxy-3-(N-tert-butoxycarbonylamino)-4,5-O-isopropylidine-10-(4′-ethoxyphenyl)deca-7,9-dienoate 45. KHMDS (0.5 M in PhMe, 1.89 mL, 0.94 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of 40 (164 mg, 0.30 mmol, >99:1 dr) and 44 (166 mg, 0.94 mmol, >99:1 dr) in THF (30 mL) at -78 °C, and the resultant mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min. H₂O (5 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt. The aqueous layer was then extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were dried and concentrated in vacuo to give 45 in >99:1 dr. Purification via flash column chromatography (gradient elution 7:1 → 5:1 30−40 °C petrol/ EtOAc) gave 45 as a yellow solid (35 mg, 23%, >99:1 dr): mp 116− 120 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25}$ -11.1 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} (ATR) 3364 (N-H, O−H), 2982, 2934 (C−H), 1741, 1716 (C=O), 1604, 1510 (C=C); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.37–1.50 (18H, m, CMe₃, 2 × MeCMe, CH₂CH₃), 2.35−2.45 (1H, m, C(6)H_A), 2.45−2.54 (1H, m, C(6)H_B), 3.17 (1H, br s, OH), 3.76 (1H, dd, J 9.5, 6.5, C(4)H), 3.80 (3H, s, OMe), 4.03 (2H, q, J 7.1, CH₂CH₃), 4.13−4.21 (2H, m, C(3)H, C(5)H), 4.58 (1H, app s, C(2)H), 4.85 (1H, d, J 10.4, NH), 5.76 (1H, dt, J 15.0, 7.5, C(7)H), 6.24 (1H, dd, J 15.0, 10.5, C(8)H), 6.41 (1H, d, J 15.5, C(10)H), 6.63 (1H, dd, J 15.5, 10.5, C(9)H), 6.83 (2H, d, J 8.7, C(3')H, C(5')H), 7.30 (2H, d, J 8.7, C(2')H, C(6')H); δ_c (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.8 (CH₂CH₃), 27.1, 27.5 (2 × MeCMe), 28.2 (CMe_3) , 37.5 $(C(6))$, 52.9 (OMe) , 55.3 $(C(3))$, 63.4 (CH_2CH_3) , 70.0 $(C(2))$, 78.4 $(C(4))$, 79.5 $(C(5))$, 80.0 $(CMe₃)$, 109.3 (MeCMe), 114.6 $(C(3'), C(5'))$, 126.8 $(C(9)),$ 127.4 $(C(2'), C(6'))$, 128.6 $(C(7))$, 130.0 $(C(1'))$, 130.8 $(C(10))$, 133.4 $(C(8))$, 155.0 (NCO), 158.4 (C(4')), 174.0 (C(1)); m/z (ESI⁺) 528 ([M + Na]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{27}H_{39}NNaO_8^+$ ([M + Na]⁺) requires 528.2568; found 528.2564.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, and crystallographic information files (for structures CCDC 907676−907679). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: steve.davies@chem.ox.ac.uk.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no competing](mailto:steve.davies@chem.ox.ac.uk) financial interest.

ENDERGERENCES

(1) (a) Bewley, C. A.; Debitus, C.; Faulkner, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. , 116, 7631. (b) Schmidt, E. W.; Faulkner, D. J. Tetrahedron 1998, , 3043. (c) Qureshi, A.; Colin, P. L.; Faulkner, D. J. Tetrahedron , 56, 3679.

(2) Zhu, J.; Ma, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 5348.

(3) For a report concerning syntheses of some of the other amino acid components within the microsclerodermins, see: Shioiri, T.; Sasaki, S.; Hamada, Y. ARKIVOC 2003, ii, 103.

(4) (a) Sasaki, S.; Hamada, Y.; Shioiri, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 3013. (b) Sasaki, S.; Hamada, Y.; Shioiri, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 3187.

(5) Chandrasekhar, S.; Sultana, S. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 7255.

(6) Burnett, C. M.; Williams, R. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 5449. (7) Shuter, E. C.; Duong, H.; Hutton, C. A.; McLeod, M. D. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2007, 5, 3183.

(8) Hjelmgaard, T.; Faure, S.; Lemoine, P.; Viossat, B.; Aitken, D. J. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 841.

(9) Barbat, J.; Gelas, J.; Horton, D. Carbohydr. Res. 1983, 116, 312. (10) Tarrade-Matha, A.; Valle, M. S.; Tercinier, P.; Dauban, P.; Dodd, R. H. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 673.

(11) (a) Davies, S. G.; Ichihara, O. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1991, 2, 183. (b) Davies, S. G.; Garrido, N. M.; Kruchinin, D.; Ichihara, O.; Kotchie, L. J.; Price, P. D.; Price Mortimer, A. J.; Russell, A. J.; Smith, A. D. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006, 17, 1793.

(12) For selected examples from this laboratory, see: (a) Abraham, E.; Candela-Lena, J. I.; Davies, S. G.; Georgiou, M.; Nicholson, R. L.; Roberts, P. M.; Russell, A. J.; Sánchez-Fernández, E. M.; Smith, A. D.; Thomson, J. E. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2007, 18, 2510. (b) Abraham, E.; Brock, E. A.; Candela-Lena, J. I.; Davies, S. G.; Georgiou, M.; Nicholson, R. L.; Perkins, J. H.; Roberts, P. M.; Russell, A. J.; Sánchez-Fernández, E. M.; Scott, P. M.; Smith, A. D.; Thomson, J. E. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 1665. (c) Davies, S. G.; Fletcher, A. M.; Lee, J. A.; Roberts, P. M.; Russell, A. J.; Taylor, R. J.; Thomson, A. D.; Thomson, J. E. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1672. (d) Davies, S. G.; Lee, J. A.; Roberts, P. M.; Thomson, J. E.; West, C. J. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 4302. (e) Davies, S. G.; Lee, J. A.; Roberts, P. M.; Stonehouse, J. P.; Thomson, J. E. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 9724.

(13) For example, see: Cailleau, T.; Cooke, J. W. B.; Davies, S. G.; Ling, K. B.; Naylor, A.; Nicholson, R. L.; Price, P. D.; Roberts, P. M.; Russell, A. J.; Smith, A. D.; Thomson, J. E. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2007, 5, 3922.

(14) For example, see: Davies, S. G.; Lee, J. A.; Roberts, P. M.; Thomson, J. E.; Yin, J. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 218.

(15) (a) Davies, S. G.; Smith, A. D.; Price, P. D. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005, 16, 2833. (b) Davies, S. G.; Fletcher, A. M.; Roberts, P. M.; Thomson, J. E. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2012, 23, 1111.

(16) Csatayová, K.; Davies, S. G.; Lee, J. A.; Roberts, P. M.; Russell, A. J.; Thomson, J. E.; Wilson, D. L. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2606.

(17) (a) Donohoe, T. J.; Moore, P. R.; Waring, M. J.; Newcombe, N. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 5027. (b) Donohoe, T. J.; Blades, K.; Moore, P. R.; Winter, J. J. G.; Helliwell, M.; Stemp, G. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2980. (c) Donohoe, T. J. Synlett 2002, 1223. (d) Donohoe,

T. J.; Blades, K.; Moore, P. R.; Waring, M. J.; Winter, J. J. G.; Helliwell, M.; Newcombe, N. J.; Stemp, G. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 7946.

(18) VanRheenen, V.; Kelly, R. C.; Cha, D. Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 17, 1973.

(19) Bunnage, M. E.; Chernega, A. N.; Davies, S. G.; Goodwin, C. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1994, 2373.

(20) For further applications of our aminohydroxylation procedure, see: refs 11b, 12a, 12b, and 16.

(21) Huang, Z.; Negishi, E. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3675.

(22) Wovkulich, P. M.; Shankaran, K.; Kiegiel, J.; Uskoković, M. R. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 832.

(23) Davies, S. G.; Foster, E. M.; McIntosh, C. R.; Roberts, P. M.; Rosser, T. E.; Smith, A. D.; Thomson, J. E. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2011, 22, 1035.

(24) Costello, J. F.; Davies, S. G.; Ichihara, O. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1994, 5, 1999.

(25) Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication numbers CCDC 907676 (22), 907677 (40), 907678 (42) and 907679 (45).

(26) Analogous reaction of the 2,3-syn-configured substrates produced antipodal diastereoselectivity.

(27) In comparable systems, treatment of osmate ester-TMEDA complexes with trishydroxymethylphosphine gives superior yields to those obtained upon treatment with ethylenediamine.

(28) As lactone 35 was found to be susceptible to decomposition it was necessary to prepare fresh samples to be used immediately in subsequent reactions.

(29) (a) Flack, H. D. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 1983, 39, 876. (b) Flack, H. D.; Bernardinelli, G. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 1999, 55, 908. (c) Flack, H. D.; Bernardinelli, G. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2000, 33, 1143.

(30) Battistuzzi, G.; Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 777.

(31) Pangborn, A. B.; Giardello, M. A.; Grubbs, R. H.; Rosen, R. K.; Timmers, F. J. Organometallics 1996, 15, 1518.

(32) Boukouvalas, J.; Cheng, Y. X.; Robichaud, J. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 228.

(33) Shen, R. C.; Lin, C. T.; Bowman, E. J.; Bowman, B. J.; Porco, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 7889.

(34) Maynard, H. D.; Grubbs, R. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 4137. (35) The peaks in the ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra of both 41 and 43 in $CDCI₃$ at rt were found to be broad because of the rotameric nature of these compounds.